Webology (ISSN: 1735-188X)
Volume 18, Number 5, 2021

International Dining Outlets –A Tough Competitor Of Existing Indian Food Markets For Various Cuisines

Mr. Ankit Shukla* , Dr. Suresh Chauhan , Dr. Mahesh Chandra Uniyal*****

*Research Scholar MMICT & BM (Hotel Management) MMDU Mullana, Ambala.

**Associate Professor MMICT & BM (Hotel Management) MMDU Mullana, Ambala.

***Associate Professor MMICT & BM (Hotel Management) MMDU Mullana, Ambala.

Abstract

The motivation behind this examination theory is to recognize the main considerations of buyers' reasons of inclination for universal nourishment administration outlets and Indian nourishment administration outlets. Research study likewise looked at the components of shoppers' reasons of inclination. Worldwide sorted out nourishment retailers for example, KFC, McDonalds, Pizza Hut, and Domino's Pizza and so on are going about according to the nearby needs also, consequently presenting incredible test and rivalry before Indian nourishment administration retail outlets. An examination of the effect of the opposition from universal nourishment administration retail outlets on the quality characteristics of Indian nourishment administration retail outlets reveals insight into how to contend in such an condition and what ought to be the key showcasing approach for the Indian retailers.

Keywords: Customer awareness, Customer satisfaction, food market, food outlets, fast food.

Introduction

Fast Food Retailing in India

India is viewed as one of the world's significant nourishment makers yet represents extremely less (almost 1.5%) level of global nourishment exchange. This gives an extraordinary chance to national furthermore, global advertisers. Lately, India's natural pecking order industry is quickly developing and is focusing on item broadening. Worldwide natural pecking order industry in India is creating and setting up itself in urban regions alongside the conventional and limited nourishment retailing models. Indian nourishment administration retail showcase is seeing broad rivalry in the ongoing decade. Conventional nourishment retailers just as sorted out Indian nourishment administration retail outlets both are confronting extreme rivalry from worldwide nourishment administration retailers. Indian Food administration retailers are attempting their best to pull in, fulfill and hold customers. Furthermore, so as to stay in the market, they are attempting to remain unobtrusive by making increasingly loved and novel shopping encounters for the clients. Broad rivalry, developing client desires, changing purchaser inclinations are constraining and propelling Indian cheap food retailers to assess their quality and measure the fulfillment of the clients. As of late, a few issues have been tended to by analysts with respect to the effect of sorted out worldwide nourishment retailing on disorderly nourishment retailing. Analysts have researched a assortment of ways to deal with evaluate the effect and anticipated that the passage of universal nourishment retail chains will adversely influence customary nourishment retailing. All the more as of late, writing has risen that offers conflicting discoveries of the aforementioned effect of the global nourishment industry on customary nourishment retailing. At the present time it very well may be seen that assorted variety and multifaceted nature of Indian customers' segment and psychographic profile didn't represent any test to the passage of sorted out global nourishment retailing. The two kinds of retail models are existing together and both are prospering. It truly demonstrated the presumption of past specialists that global nourishment retail chains will contrarily influence conventional nourishment retailing in India. Since, there were different issues like rivalry concerns, estimating concerns and ranchers' misuse issue; the approach of Indian government is to guarantee essential nourishment security for Indian residents.

As per a report (Fast Food in India, 2017 – Predictions and Strategy, SWOT and Corporate Finance Report (www.einpresswire.com/article/410169665/inexpensive food-in-india-2017- expectations and-methodology swot-and-corporate-fund report) 'cheap food segment in India, developed at twelve percent right now 2016. This development in the past was inspired by the dynamic ways of life of Indian buyers, which drove purchasers to lean toward an elective plan for their nourishment propensities. This is going on particularly in view of the youthful populace

of Indians, as the normal time of almost half of Indian buyers is still beneath 30 years old. In spite of developing wellbeing mindfulness among youthful Indian buyers, still, the utilization of cheap food has not been changed.'

As indicated by India Food Report, 2016, (By Progressive Grocer Bureau February 14, 2016), 'India's Food Retail segment including Food and Grocery and Food Service is esteemed at Rs 25,12,962 crore (2014) and holds a 65 percent share in the nation's absolute retail showcase. Out of this, Food and Grocery Retail is worth Rs 23,03,496 crore, and the nourishment administration retail advertise is esteemed at Rs 2,09,466 crore. The normal pace of nourishment administration retail development during the last four years has been 15% per annum, and in nourishment administration, it has been 22% per annum. The relating development rates are foreseen to take these nourishment administration retailing to Rs 54,20,789 crore and Rs 6,90,672 crore later on by 2020. Data from the Report uncovered that throughout the following 6 years the nourishment administration retail showcase should record a normal development pace of 5.3% per annum. The pace of development is expected to be marginally higher at 5.7 percent per annum between 2020-21 and 2025-26. Both urban development designs and provincial developments are relied upon to be driving during the following decade as contrasted with the decade finishing 2014-15. The report looks at India's Food administration sorted out retail segment and what it brings to the table to both residential and universal retail financial specialists, in all parts of development and business.'

Advancement of Fast Food Retailing in India

In 80s decade, new eateries kept on opening with claim to fame cafés pulling in cafes from the conventional multi cooking autonomous eateries. By late 1980s new lavishly planned autonomous claim to fame eatery opened up. Mainland nourishments got less well known. Chinese and Indian nourishment eateries continue opening up. Chinese nourishment was currently accessible in 3 humble communities too. Other local nourishment eatery began. Baked good shops began opening and thriving. Property expenses and work costs rose. Cooling gets important to numerous cafes. Drive-through joints start from the very beginning India during the end 1980s. In 90s decade, autonomous nourishment cafés began offering universal food sources likewise, for example, Thai, Italian, and so on. Customary French and Italian food was as yet not mainstream. The new eateries were wonderfully planned and could contend with the best inn cafés and offered nourishment at lower cost. Home conveyance of nourishment thing began and got well known. Rivalry becomes exceptional from remote nourishment outlets as well as from new Indian restaurants.. Theme cafés grew effectively. Rivalry started in the nourishment and refreshment industry. There were a wide assortment of cafés meeting the necessities of each salary type. Because of extraordinary rivalry more fragile cafés started to close down. Year 2000 onwards, nourishment administration industry started confronting stiffer rivalry with more and

Increasingly proficient entering the market. Inns constrained their nourishment and refreshment outlets and start taking establishments of Indian and International chain cafés. Eateries become more and all the more a need and part of the infrastructural necessity just as for extravagance/ diversion. Despite the fact that there was a lack of gifted cooks; still progressively free cafés/particular topic cafés opened up. Indian provincial nourishment picked up ubiquity and Indian cheap food came into reality. Cafés started cutting specialties for western nourishments. Chains like McDonald have carried with them the pattern of littler menus, littler kitchens, talented staff and faster administration. Most present day nourishment preparing and safeguarding frameworks were created by these chain eateries for improvement of grocery stores and mass nourishment creation.

Entry of International Fast food chains

Year 1990. Weak, the first remote inexpensive food chain in Quite a while, opened its outlet in Connaught Spot, Delhi. In a milestone arrangement change broadly known as the Liberalization Privatization Globalization (LPG), that year, Indian Government had made its ways for the world in a offer to make our business sectors increasingly worldwide and comprehensive, and the Indian players progressively serious. 10 years thus, our business sectors have changed into a nearly remote substance. 60% of the sorted out drive-through joint section is commanded by worldwide outside brands like McDonalds, Pizza Hut, KFC, Dominos, TGIF and a few others. A lot more are competing to enter with significant extension plans.

Nourishment administration retail outlets and Mall Culture

In the most recent decade, India saw exceptionally quick improvement of the shopping center culture. Expanding quantities of the shopping centers are changing the showcasing approach of the cheap food retailers. To pull in shoppers throughout the years, shopping centers have attempted to thwart and counter to fluctuating and dynamic client needs and needs. As indicated by Y. K. Kim, (2002), 'shopping centers have moved towards expanding their social and diversion contributions, permitting them to contend with the more "practical" web shopping. In his examination, C.A. Martin, (2009), portrayed shopping centers that one wellspring of diversion and separation is nourishment outlets, which is one of only a handful not many regions of retailing that is impervious to online rivalry. Oddly, the quantity of research considers investigating client inclinations for nourishment courts in malls is generally little. K. Kalcher in 2009, and Sirpal and Peng (1995) contemplated the effect of nourishment courts on retail spending in U.S. also, Singapore shopping centers individually and found that after the presentation of the nourishment court there was an expanded level of first-time guests, expanded recurrence of visits, and expanded timeframe spent in the middle. Eating at the nourishment

court was refered to as one of the significant motivations behind visiting the shopping center. An examination by R. Srivastava, (2008), on the retail business in India uncovered that the retailing fixation there is changing towards fulfilling a wide range of requirements of buyers, counting offices for family excursions, for example, film theaters, nourishment courts, and play places for youngsters. While that review concentrated exclusively on the creating Indian retailing market, it might give more extensive understanding on changes in customers' way to deal with shopping trips; purchasers may be searching for an increasingly comprehensive encounter on their shopping trips and not center exclusively around retail stores. (Taylor, W. J., and Verma, R., 2010)

Shoppers' Brand Preference

It has been a test for advertisers to know shopper inclination. How would they structure their inclinations for a specific brand? Brand inclination is related with brand decision which may prompt brand buy. Powerful advertising procedures can be detailed by the advertisers in the event that they knew the intentions behind shopper inclinations. Be that as it may, guaging purchaser's inclinations between brands isn't a simple assignment. The majority of the early models concentrated on brand characteristics in inclination development (Fishbein, 1965).In this way the advancing showcasing techniques center around dissecting and imparting data about item properties. Despite the fact that these subjective reactions got from convictions about brand properties are significant in building inclinations, there are other enthusiastic reactions (for example elaboration probability model-1982); social impacts (for example broadened Fishbein model) that can impact brand inclinations. It is exhibited that shoppers can have a previously settled inclination and allude to the brand characteristics that affirm their inclinations. What's more, this conventional psychological view that esteemed purchaser as objective dynamic had been moved to the experiential view centers around the passionate, psychological, emblematic reactions of utilization (Holbrook and Hirschman, 1982). (Reham Ebrahim, Brunel Business School – Doctoral Symposium, 2011)

In promoting writing, the word inclination implies the allure or decision of another option. Inclinations are over every single conduct propensity (Zajonc and Markus, 1982). Brand inclination is characterized differently as the shopper's inclinations toward a brand that changes contingent upon the striking convictions that are actuated at a given time; the purchaser biasness toward a specific brand; the degree to which a purchaser favors one brand over another. For this examination a working definition for brand inclination is offered: "the one-sided conduct propensities mirroring the customer's inclination toward a brand". Additionally, there is contrast between brand inclination and brand steadfastness. Brand inclination speaks to the attitudinal brand dedication barring the activity of continue buying; the brand-arranged attitudinal dedication. The principle subject is that the initial three dynamic periods of brand dedication comprise the central of brand inclination. In this way, brand inclination is identified with brand dedication; be that as it may, brand faithfulness is progressively predictable portrayed by the

long haul rehashed buying conduct. (Reham Ebrahim, Brunel Business School – Doctoral Symposium,2011)

The free factors incorporate client desire, mindfulness, fulfillment, valuing, nourishment quality, conveyance process, vibe and the ability level of the workers. Research includes approaching clients for emotional attitudinal assessments of value measurements of global nourishment outlets customary what's more, Indian nourishment outlets. The present proposal considers the impact of different factors on customer inclinations. Factor investigation was utilized for recognizing the most significant factors by decreasing the no of factors. The examination was directed on 500 shoppers of worldwide and Indian nourishment industry in KAVAL locale of Shimla, India. The outcomes uncover that components, for example, great atmosphere/insides, methodical purchasing process, better deals advancement plans, and responsiveness of staff are the central point that pull in buyers to global or Indian nourishment outlets. Chi square investigation was utilized to learn the relationship between the free factors and the reliant factors. 150 retailers (administrators or more elevated level) were overviewed out of which 44 respondents were worldwide nourishment administration retailers; and 106 respondents were Indian nourishment administration retailers. The outcomes demonstrated that for Indian nourishment administration retailers, there is need to improve quality, cleanliness, feeling, conveyance process and the capability of its representatives.

Research Objectives

Research Objective-: To study the factors responsible for C**onsumers' Preference for Indian** Fast Food Retailers for various cuisines

Alternate Hypothesis-1 (H1): There are some factors that are responsible for C**onsumers' Preference for International** Fast Food Retailers for various cuisines

Null Hypothesis-1 (H0): There are some factors that are not responsible for C**onsumers' Preference for International** Fast Food Retailers for various cuisines

DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

Factor Analysis was performed to extract the most important Factors that determine the consumers' preference for the Indian Traditional food outlet.

Table 1.1 KMO and Bartlett's Test

KMO and Bartlett's Test		
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		.687
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	2149.855
	Df	210
	Sig.	.000

Adequacy of the data is tested on the basis of results the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity (homogeneity of Variance) provided in **table-1.1**6 The KMO measure of sampling adequacy is **0.687**, which indicates the present datais suitable for factor analysis. Similarly, Bartlett's test of sphericity is significant ($p < 0.001$); that explains existence of sufficient correlation between variables to proceed with the analysis.

Table 1.2 Total Variance

Communalities		
	Initial	**Extraction**
Variety in the products of Menu	1.000	.681
Reasonable in the pricing	1.000	.647
Convenient in the location	1.000	.664
Good in the taste as well as quality	1.000	.713
Service of food	1.000	.667
Accuracy in the servicing order	1.000	.549
Packaging is attractive	1.000	.804
Ambiance is fine	1.000	.709
Hygienic in the food served	1.000	.845
Staff working is Reliable	1.000	.636
Staff is quite responsive	1.000	.509
Staff working is polite	1.000	.537
physical environment is better	1.000	.788
shopping experience	1.000	.472
buying process is systematic	1.000	.533
Sales promotion schemes is better	1.000	.548

complain management	1.000	.695
eating food in traditional Indian food outlet is a status symbol	1.000	.660
Additional Services are given	1.000	.729
Time spent in cooking is saved	1.000	.600

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Table 1.3 Total Variance Explained

Total Variance Explained									
Compone Nt	**Initial Eigenvalues**			**Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings**			**Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings**		
	Total	**% of Varianc E**	**Cumulativ e %**	**Total**	**% of Varian Ce**	**Cumulativ e %**	**Total**	**% of Variance**	**Cumula tive %**
1	2.851	18.336	18.336	3.851	18.336	18.336	2.778	13.230	13.230
2	1.545	12.121	30.458	2.545	12.121	30.458	2.393	11.394	22.624
3	1.902	8.056	39.513	1.902	9.056	39.513	1.998	9.514	38.138
4	1.714	7.160	47.674	1.714	8.160	47.674	1.919	9.139	47.277
5	1.394	8.640	54.314	1.394	6.640	54.314	1.903	9.060	55.337
6	1.205	5.739	60.053	1.205	5.739	60.053	1.454	6.926	59.263
7	1.185	8.643	65.696	1.185	5.643	65.696	1.351	6.433	68.696
8	.947	5.507	72.203						
9	.821	2.910	71.114						
10	.715	3.407	72.521						

11	.662	3.151	82.672						
12	.602	2.867	83.539						
13	.550	2.619	88.157						
14	.530	2.526	85.683						
15	.501	2.388	21.071						
16	.421	2.003	92.074						
17	.374	1.782	93.856						
18	.342	1.627	95.483						
19	.294	1.400	98.883						
20	.233	1.110	99.993						
21	.212	1.007	100.000						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

In table-1.4 this output highlights the eigen values which are associated with every linear component (factor) before extraction, after the extraction and after the rotation. Before the extraction, Output has noted 21 linear components within the data set (we assume that there should be as many eigenvectors as there are variables and there will be as many factors as variables). The Eigen values related with each factor represent the variance determines by that particular linear component and output also displays the Eigen value in terms of the percentage of variance explained. Before the rotation, some factors accounted for considerably more variance, and some factors accounted for considerably less variance. It should be noted that the some factors explain relatively huge amount of variance (especially factor 1) whereas subsequent factors explain only small amounts of variance. **Then maximum factors with Eigen values greater than 1 are extracted**, which left us with only7 **factors**. According to Kaiser Criterion, only the first **7 factors** should be utilized because the subsequent eigen values are less than 1.

All the 3 factors explain the following percentage of total variance.

So, in that factor 1 is explaining 13.230% of total variance, factor 2 explains 11.394% of total variance, factor 3 explains 9.514% of total variance, factor 4 explains 9.239%of total variance, factor 5 explains 9.260% of total variance, factor 6 explains 6.986% of total variance, & factor 7 explains 6.483% of total variance.

As it is clear from the (Total Variations Explained) it has been find out that from the total 21 components (play role in making blueprint of an advertisement appealing to adult males), 7 factors are taken and these 21combiningly for only **65.686%** of the total variance (Information contained in original 21 variables) so it has been reduced the number of variable from 21 to 7 underlying factors. Around **34.404%** of the total variation or Information is sacrificed.

Table 1.5 Component Matrix

Component Matrix[a]

	Component						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
improved physical environment	.754						
improved complain management	.693						
Staff is quite polite	.603						
Good sales promotion strategy	.585						
employee is responsive	.504						
Systematic buying procedure	.491						
Enhanced shopping experience	.441						
Good Packaging	.438	.619					
Ambiance/	.452	.544					
serving Order accuracy		.526					
Variety of products in Menu		.467					
Pricing		.457					
Having food in traditional Indian food outlet is a status symbol			.727				
Time saved in cooking			.676				
Additional Services are offered			.653				

Consumer Service				.599			
Location				.566			
Reliable staff					.631		
Food and Hygiene						.631	
taste and healthy food							.609
Speed of Service							.528
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.							
a. 7 components extracted.							

Table 1.6 Rotated Component Matrix

Rotated Component Matrix[a]							
	Component						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Relaxed physical environment	.837						
Improved complain management	.800						
Staff is responsive	.663						
Staff is quite polite	.635						
Packaging		.846					
Customer Service		.780					
Accuracy in the serving Order		.716					
Interiors		.694					
location			.807				
Variety			.801				
Reasonable			.784				

Additional Services				.742			
Time spent in cooking saved				.742			
eating food in traditional Indian food				.730			
Staff is Reliable					.792		
Good shopping experience					.649		
Good sales promotion schemes					.554		
Systematic buying in the process					.454		
taste and healthy food						.838	
Speed of Serving						.788	
Hygienic food							.904
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.[a]							
a. Rotation converged in 6 iterations.							

Table 1.7 Component Transformation Matrix

Component Transformation Matrix							
Component	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	.415	.283	.288	.054	.427	.253	.106
2	-.580	.651	.475	-.284	-.267	-.041	.160
3	-.520	.085	.282	.924	-.063	-.189	-.023
4	.236	-.659	.648	-.094	-.163	.142	.735
5	-.221	-.080	.042	-.088	.741	-.429	.379
6	-.200	-.053	.346	-.066	.374	.290	-.757

7	-.268	.122	-.285	.208	.155	.754	.366

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Interpretation: Factors that determine the consumers' preference for the traditional Indian food market.

In the present study Factor Analysis exhibits the factor loading for the statements (Variables). Looking at Rotated Component Matrix , we find out that **Factor/Component 1** contains the **4** items-

1. Good physical environment
2. Good complain management
3. Staff is quite responsive
4. Staff is very polite in nature

While the **Factor/Component 2 contains** the 04 items-

1. Packaging was attractive
2. Customer Service
3. Accuracy of serving the food
4. Enhanced Interiors

References

➢ Aaker David, 2000, Building strong brands. The Free Press, New York.

➢ Beverland, M., 2001, Creating value through brands : The ZESPRITM kiwi fruit case. British Food J., 103 (6) : 383-399

➢ Brayfield, A. H., Rothe, H. F. (1951). An Index of Job Satisfaction. Journal of Applied Psychology, 35 (5): 307-311. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/h0055617

➢ Brown, K., Mcllveen, H. and Strugnell, C., 2000, Nutritional awareness and food preferences of young consumers in northern Ireland. Nutr. and Food Sci, 30 (4/5) : 230-235.

➢ C.A. Martin, “Consumption Motivation and Perceptions of Malls: A Comparison of Mothers and Daughters,”

➢ C.A. Martinand L. Turley, “Malls and Consumption Motivation: An Exploratory Examination of Older Generation Y Consumers,” International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, Vol. 32, No. 10 (2004), p. 464.

➢ Chen, A., 2001, Using free association to examine the relationship between the characteristics of brand associations and brand equity. J. Product and Brand Management, 10 (7) : 439-451

➢ Clauson, Annette., 1999 , Share of food spending for eating out reaches 47 percent , Food Review 22. 3 (Sep-Dec 1999): 20-22

➢ Cullen, Peter , 1994 , Time, tastes and technology: The economic evolution of eating out , British Food Journal , 96 (10) : 4

➢ Fast Food in India, 2017 – Predictions and Strategy, SWOT and Corporate Finance Report (www.einpresswire.com/article/410169665/fast-food-in-india-2017- predictions-and-strategy-swot-and-corporate-finance-report)

➢ Fishbein, M. (1965). A consideration of beliefs, attitudes, and their relationships. In Seteiner, J. & Fishbein, M. Current studies in Social Psychology, (pp. 107-117). New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston. ➢ Hans,C.M. and Trijp, P., 1996, Why switch ? Product category level exploitation for true variety seeking behaviour. Am. J. Mktg Res., 32 (3) : 105-116.

➢ Holbrook, Morris B., & Hirschman, Elizabeth C. (1982), The experiential aspects of consumption: Consumer fantasies, feeling, and fun. Journal of Consumer Research, (9) (September), 132-140.

➢http://www.indiaretailing.com/2017/10/16/food/food-service/norwegian-seafoodindustry-eye-indian-gourmet-market/

➢ India Food Report, 2016, By Progressive Grocer Bureau February 14, 2016.

➢ Johnston, R.. (1997). Identifying the critical determinants of service quality in retail insurance: importance and effects. The International Journal of Bank Marketing. 15 (4): 111-119.

➢Jorin, R., 1987, Consumer behaviour is changing and offering new opportunities. Berater-Information, 26 (9) : 8-14.

➢ Karl Kalcher, “North America’s New Town Centers: Time to Take Some Angst Out and Put More Soul In,” Cornell Industry Perspectives, No. 3, January 2009.

➢ Khouri, R., Boulos, H. (2013). Conceptual Framework Development for Customer Loyalty in Malaysian Commercial Insurance Industry. European Journal of Innovation and Business, 11.

➢ Kulkarni, Smita; Lassar, Walfried , Jan 31, 2009 , McDonald's Ongoing Marketing Challenge: Social Perception in India .. Online Journal of International Case Analysis 1. 2: 1-19

➢ Lander, Nicholas , Mar 10, 2001 , Tearful moments on Delhi's streets: EATING OUT , Financial Times[London(UK)] , 12-12

➢ Malik, N., Rizvi. I, Sharma, S.D., 2017, Consumer Preferences for the Quality Attributes of International and Indian Food Service Retail Outlets.” IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM), vol. 19, no. 11, 2017, pp. 01-13.

➢ Martens, Lydia 1997 , Gender and the eating out experience , British Food Journal 99. 1 (1997): 20-26.

➢ Mookerji, Madhumita, Apr 27. 2005 , Dining out heats up in India , Knight Ridder Tribune Business News [Washington], 1:1

➢ Nagaraja, B., 2004, Consumer behaviour in rural areas : A micro level study on consumer behaviour in Kavi mandal. Ind. J. Mktg, 34 (11) : 30-36.

➢ Nandagopal, R. and Chinnaiyan, P., 2003, Brand preference of soft drinks in rural Tamil Nadu. Ind. J. Mktg, 33 (1) : 14-17.

➢ Nichani, Meena. (2005) , Urbanites in India junk health, turn fast foodies , Knight Ridder Tribune Business News [Washington] 12 Jan 2005: 1.

➢ Oliver, R. L. (1992). An investigation of the attribute basis of emotion and related affects in consumption: suggestions for a stage-specifi c satisfaction framework. Advance in Consumer Research, 19: 237-244.

➢ Pawar, P., & Veer, N. (2013). Advantage India: A Study of Competitive Position of Organized Retail Industry. IOSR Journal of Business and Management, 10(4), 57-62. 24